

Johnson & Johnson Statement on Lovell Verdict

July 29, 2025 - Attributable to Erik Haas, Worldwide Vice President of Litigation, Johnson & Johnson:

"We will immediately appeal this verdict, and, as with the vast majority of other plaintiff verdicts in the talc litigation, we fully expect the appellate court to reverse it.

"This decision is predicated on 'junk science' that is refuted by decades of studies demonstrating Johnson's Baby Powder is safe, does not contain asbestos and does not cause cancer. The plaintiff lawyers' business model is to roll the dice in search of jackpot verdicts, fueled by litigation-funded junk science, without regard to the fact that most claimants recover nothing in the tort system.

"These talc lawsuits clog courts and impose extraordinary costs on the judicial system with contrived 'scientific' debates that should be presented to and decided by the scientific agencies authorized by Congress to evaluate such questions. This is why the Company continues to expose the plaintiff's bar and their so-called experts through affirmative litigation.

"In April, the Company reopened a lawsuit against a so-called plaintiff's 'expert' after a court ordered the disclosure of evidence that irrefutably establishes that the article she authored asserting that talc causes cancer is utterly fraudulent. (More on the Moline case [here.](#))"

To learn more about our position and the science supporting the safety of our product, visit www.FactsAboutTalc.com.

###