

Johnson & Johnson Statement on Moore Verdict

October 6, 2025 - Attributable to Erik Haas, Worldwide Vice President of Litigation, Johnson & Johnson:

“We will immediately appeal this egregious and unconstitutional verdict that is directly at odds—in result and amount—with the vast majority of other talc cases wherein the Company has prevailed, including the defense verdict last week against the same plaintiff law firm that brought this baseless action.

“Last Thursday, a jury in South Carolina wholly rejected the same plaintiff lawyers’ baseless talc claims in the Johnson case. (More on the Johnson defense [verdict here](#).) As in the Johnson case, the plaintiff lawyers in this Moore case based their arguments on ‘junk science’ that never should have been presented to the jury, as it is refuted by decades of studies demonstrating Johnson’s Baby Powder is safe, does not contain asbestos and does not cause cancer. The plaintiff lawyers’ business model is to roll the dice in search of jackpot verdicts, fueled by litigation-funded junk science, without regard to the fact that most claimants recover nothing in the tort system.

“The Company is confident that the Moore verdict will be reversed on appeal, for the same reasons as virtually all the other plaintiff verdicts rendered by juries similarly misled by the false narratives fed by experts on the plaintiff’s payroll. The punitive damages amount also on its face is unconstitutional and cannot stand.

“These talc lawsuits clog courts and impose extraordinary costs on the judicial system with manufactured ‘scientific’ debates that should be presented to and decided by the scientific agencies authorized by Congress to evaluate such questions. This is why the Company continues to expose the plaintiff’s bar and their so-called experts through affirmative litigation.”

To learn more about our position and the science supporting the safety of our product, visit www.FactsAboutTalc.com.

###